	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
TYNFFI: (Optional)  DCI Representation on	NDPC			
FROM: Deputy Director of Security (P&M)		EXTENSION	NO. OS 8 1268/2	
4E-60 Hqs.	.=			DATE 24 October 1978 S
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	D	DATE		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whon to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
1,	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.
DD/Security	24 OCT	25 °CT	55	I recommend that the D/Sec sign the attached and forward to
<b>2.</b>			<i>(, )</i>	the DDA for the latter's approval. I am cognizant of the C/PPG negative reaction to this approach
D/Security	25 OCT		4	(see pink buck slip below). As an ex-NDPC representative, I see
1. nn/s	30	OCT 1978	40	the function as one the Office of Security can afford to give up with out rendering any harm to national
5. 2/5	31°CT		//	security, vital Agency interests, or the Office of Security's image in the Community. The NDPC
6.		a	oul	account will be as well served by NFAC as by an OS rep. Perhaps even better served. The Office
7. 4 to 5 cm.	5 0			will still be available to provide an occasional traveling survey officer where that need exists and
8. Sell	595			a petition made to us. We keep talking about cutting functions so that we can better attend to
9.	1.1.0	mt	/	our vital accounts. Here's a golden opportunity to practice what we preach.
10.	the	New		WRK
11.	god	, ,		This is one
12. // Jack 19	L W	W		believe we can
13. With two				Cut with our
14. V				MAIGH -
15.	<u> </u>			t t

	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional)	·				
TINTL DCI Representation	o on MD	n.c			
FROM	I OII ND	PC	EXTENSION	NO.	
C/PPG			DATE		
TINTL	DATE		OFFICER'S	24 Oct 1978  COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whore	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)					
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment	
1. DD/P&M	240,010			As I indicated during the earlie iteration of this proposal, I	
2.	-			have serious reservations about	
DD/Sec				our relinquishing responsibility for providing the DCI membership	
3. D/Sec				on NDPC. I recognize that the principal input from the Agency in NDPC matters comes from NFAC	
	_			and that both the DDO and DDS&T	
4.				have significant equities in NDPC discussions. Fundamentally	
5.				I believe that all four	
				Directorates have a piece of the action. My reservations	
		<u> </u>		are based on a feeling, hard	
6.				to define, that NFAC is 'making	
				a play" on this issue and that	
7.		1		recent experience in coordinatin	
		Ì		NDPC matters within the Agency has shown a certain lack of	
	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>	polish in the manner NFAC has	
8.				addressed NDPC issues. While no	
				quarreling with the argument	
9.				made in the attached, I hate to take a chance on disturbing an	
				equilibrium that for the past	
10.	-		_	three years at least has served	
10.				the NDPC, the Agency, and the DCI extremely well.	
11.	-			Cunthonnous   T am two.blad b. th	
				Furthermore, I am troubled by th idea of making such a significan	
12.		<del> </del>	ļ	transfer of functional responsi-	
• ••				bility through a bilateral	
12				NFAC/DDA agreement; at the very least the issue should be raised	
13.				at the EAG level and the final	
				decision made by the DDCI or	
14.				the DCI himself.	
15.			_	<b>~</b> ∞	
			1		